



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Heidi E. Dixon et al.
APPLICATION NO.: 10/773,351
FILING DATE: February 5, 2004
TITLE: Database Manipulations Using Group Theory
EXAMINER: Not Yet Known
GROUP ART UNIT: 2171
ATTY. DKT. NO.: 18922-08585

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below:

Dated: 11/22/04

By: 
Brian M. Hoffman, Reg. No.: 39,713

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Under 37 CFR §§ 1.56 and 1.97-98

SIR:

Pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR §§ 1.56 and 1.97-98, enclosed herewith is modified form PTO/SB/08A listing references for consideration by the Examiner.

The filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed as a representation regarding the completeness of the list of references, or that inclusion of a reference in this list is an admission that it is prior art or is pertinent to this application, or that a search has been made, or as an admission that the information listed is, or may be considered to be, material to patentability, or that no other material information exists, and shall not be construed as an admission against interest in any manner.

This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed:

- ☒ within three months of the filing date of the application, or date of entry into the national stage of an international application, or before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits, whichever event last occurred;
- ☐ before the mailing of a first official action after the filing of a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114;

- ☐ after three months of the filing date of this national application or the date of entry of the national stage in an international application, or after the mailing date of the first official action on the merits, whichever event last occurred, but before the mailing date of the first to occur of either: (1) a final action under 37 CFR §1.113; or (2) an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and:
 - ☐ attached hereto is the fee set forth under 37 CFR §1.17(p) for submission of this Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR § 1.97(c); OR
 - ☐ Applicant certifies pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.97(e) that:
 - ☐ each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Statement; OR
 - ☐ no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the person signing this certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in this Statement was known to any individual designated under 37 CFR § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Statement;
- ☐ on or before the payment of the issue fee but after the mailing date of the first to occur of either: (1) a final action under 37 CFR § 1.113; (2) a notice of allowance under 37 CFR § 1.311; or (3) an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and:
 - ☐ Applicant certifies pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.97(e) that:
 - ☐ each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Statement;
 - ☐ no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the person signing this certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained


in this Statement was known to any individual designated under 37 CFR § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Statement; AND

- ☐ attached hereto is the fee set forth under 37 CFR §1.17(p) for submission of this Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR. § 1.97(c); OR
- ☐ after the payment of the issue fee. Applicant requests that the information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement be placed in the file according to 37 CFR § 1.97(i), although the information may not be considered by the USPTO.
- ☐ Enclosed is a copy of each listed reference that may be material to the examination of this application, and for which there may be a duty to disclose.
- ☐ This application relies, under 35 U.S.C. § 120, on the earlier filing date of prior application No. _____, filed on _____, and the references cited therein are hereby referenced, but are not required to be provided in this application under 37 CFR § 1.98(d).
- ☐ This application was filed after June 30, 2003. Therefore, pursuant to the waiver of the requirements under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2)(i), copies of each U.S. Patent and each U.S. Patent Application Publication are not required to be submitted. Copies of any foreign patent documents and non-patent literature cited herein are enclosed.
- ☐ Each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and the communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 CFR § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 CFR § 1.704(d).
- ☒ Applicant submits that no fee is required for the consideration of this Information Disclosure Statement.

Consideration of the listed references and favorable action are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
HEIDI E. DIXON ET AL.

Dated: 11/22/04

By: 
Brian M. Hoffman, Reg. No.: 39,713
Fenwick & West LLP
Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel.: (415) 875-2484
Fax.: (650) 938-5200



LFW +


TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence during pendency of filed application)</i>		Application Number	10/773,351
		Filing Date	February 5, 2004
		First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
		Group Art Unit Number	2171
		Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	10*	Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form (in duplicate) <input type="checkbox"/> Check Enclosed	<input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter to Chief Draftsperson
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice to File Missing Parts	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Drawing(s): [] Sheet(s) of Figure(s) []
<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment & Recordation Cover Sheet	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Declaration	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Application Data Sheet	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement & PTO/SB/08A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Cited References	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Corrected Filing Receipt	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Correction of Recorded Assignment	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Response: [] Page(s) <input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Request	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Revocation and Substitute Power of Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS: *Page count does not include cited references.

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT		
Signature:		
Attorney/Reg. No.:	Brian M. Hoffman, Reg. No. 39,713	Dated: 11/22/04

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified above, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10.		
Signature:		
Typed or Printed Name:	Brian M. Hoffman	Dated: 11/22/04
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):		



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Complete if Known

Application No.	10/773,351
Filing Date	February 5, 2004
First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
Art Unit	2171
Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

Sheet 1 of 6

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document No. Number – Kind Code ² (if known)	Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document
		US-		

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ – Number ⁴ Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	T ⁶

OTHER REFERENCES – NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ⁶
	C1	ALOUL, F., RAMANI, A., MARKOV, I., and SAKALLAH, K., PBS: A Backtrack Search Pseudo-Boolean Solver, Fifth International Symposium on the Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing, May 6-9, 2000, pp. 346-353.	
	C2	BABAI, L., LUKS, E., and SERESS, A., Fast Management Of Permutation Groups I, SIAM J. On Computing, 1997, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-33.	
	C3	BAKER, A. B., The Hazards Of Fancy Backtracking, In Proceedings of the Twelfth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 1994.	
	C4	BARTH, P., A Davis-Putnam Based Enumeration Algorithm For Linear Pseudo-Boolean Optimization, Technical Report MPI-I-95-2-003, Max Planck Institut fur Informatik, Saarbrucken, Germany, January 1995.	
	C5	BAUMGARTNER, P., FDPLL - A First-Order Davis-Putnam-Logeman-Loveland Procedure, In D. McAllester, editor, CADE-17 The 17th International Conference on Automated Deduction, volume 1831, pages 200-219. Springer, 2000.	
	C6	BAUMGARTNER, P. and MASSACCI, F., The Taming of the (X)OR, In J. Lloyd, V. Dahl, U. Furbach, M. Kerber, K.-K. Lau, C. Palamidessi, L. M. Pereira, Y. Sagiv, and P. J. Stuckey, editors, Computational Logic - CL 2000, volume 1861, pages 508-522. Springer, 2000.	
	C7	BAYARDO, R. J. and MIRANKER, D. P., A Complexity Analysis Of Space-Bounded Learning Algorithms For The Constraint Satisfaction Problem, In Proceedings of the Thirteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 298-304, 1996.	
	C8	BAYARDO, R. J. and SCHRAG, R. C., Using CSP Look-Back Techniques To Solve Real-World SAT Instances, In	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

18922/08585/DOCS/1480302.1

11/11/04

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Complete if Known	
				Application No.	10/773,351
				Filing Date	February 5, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
				Art Unit	2171
				Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Sheet	2	of	6	Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

OTHER REFERENCES – NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ²
		Proceedings of the Fourteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 203-208, 1997.	
	C9 /	BEAME, P. and PITASSI, T., Propositional Proof Complexity: Past, Present And Future, In G. Paun, G. Rozenberg, and A. Salomaa, editors, Current Trends in Theoretical Computer Science, Entering the 21th Century, pages 42-70. World Scientific, 2001.	
	C10 /	BIERE, A., CLARKE, E., RAIMI, R., and ZHU, Y., Verifying Safety Properties Of A PowerPC Microprocessor Using Symbolic Model Checking Without BDDs, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1633, 1999.	
	C11 /	BONET, M. L., PITASSI, T., and RAZ, R., Lower Bounds For Cutting Planes Proofs With Small Coefficients, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 62(3):708-728, 1997.	
	C12 /	BRYANT, R. E., Graph-Based Algorithms For Boolean Function Manipulation, IEEE Trans. Comput., C-35(8):677-691, Aug. 1986.	
	C13 /	BRYANT, R. E., Symbolic Boolean Manipulation With Ordered Binary-Decision Diagrams, ACM Computing Surveys, 24(3):293-318, June 1992.	
	C14 /	BUCHBERGER, B., Ein Algorithmus Zum Auffinden Der Basiselemente Des Restklassenringes Nach Einem Nulldimensionalen Polynomideal, PhD thesis, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, 1965.	
	C15 /	CADOLI, M., SCHAEFER, M., GIOVANARDI, A., and GIOVANARDI, M., An Algorithm To Evaluate Quantified Boolean Formulae And Its Experimental Evaluation, Journal of Automated Reasoning, 28(2):101-142, 2002.	
	C16 /	CHAI, D. and KUEHLMANN, A., A Fast Pseudo-Boolean Constraint Solver, In Proceedings of the 40th Design Automation Conference, pages 830-835, 2003.	
	C17 /	CLEGG, M., EDMONDS, J., and IMPAGLIAZZO, R., Using The Groebner Basis Algorithm To Find Proofs Of Unsatisfiability, In Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing, pages 174-183, 1996.	
	C18 /	COARFA, C., DEMOPOULOS, D. D., SAN MIGUEL AGUIRRE, A., SUBRAMANIAN, D. and VARDI, M., Random 3-SAT: The Plot Thickens, In Proceedings of the International Conference on Constraint Programming, 2000.	
	C19 /	COOK, S. A., The Complexity Of Theorem-Proving Procedures, In Proceedings of the 3rd Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, pages 151-158, 1971.	
	C20 /	COPTY, F., FIX, L., FRAER, R., GIUNCHIGLIA, E., KAMHI, G., TACCHIELLA, A., and VARDI, M., Benefits Of Bounded Model Checking In An Industrial Setting, In 13th Conference on Computer Aided Verification, CAV'01, Paris, France, July 2001.	
	C21 /	CRAWFORD, J. M., A Theoretical Analysis Of Reasoning By Symmetry In First-Order Logic, (Extended Abstract), In AAAI Workshop on Tractable Reasoning, 1992.	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

18922/08585/DOCS/1480302.1

11/11/04

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO				Complete if Known	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Application No.	10/773,351
				Filing Date	February 5, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
				Art Unit	2171
				Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Sheet	3	of	6	Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

OTHER REFERENCES – NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ²
	C22 /	CRAWFORD, J. M. and AUTON, L. D., Experimental Results On The Crossover Point In Random 3SAT, Artificial Intelligence, 81:31-57, 1996.	
	C23 /	CRAWFORD, J. M., GINSBERG, M. L., LUKS, E., and ROY, A., Symmetry Breaking Predicates For Search Problems, In Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning, Boston, MA, 1996.	
	C24 /	DIXON, H. E. and GINSBERG, M. L., Combining Satisfiability Techniques From AI And OR, Knowledge Engrg. Rev., 15:31-45, 2000.	
	C25 /	DIXON, H., GINSBERG, M., and PARKES, A., Generalizing Boolean Satisfiability I: Background and Survey of Existing Work, Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research 21 (2/2004) 193-243.	
	C26 /	DIXON, H. E. and GINSBERG, M. L., Inference Methods For A Pseudo-Boolean Satisfiability Solver, In Proceedings of the Eighteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2002.	
	C27 /	DUBOIS, O. and DEQUEN, G., A Backbone-Search Heuristic For Efficient Solving Of Hard 3-SAT Formulae, In Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 248-253, 2001.	
	C28 /	EAST, D. and TRUSZCZYNSKI, M., Propositional Satisfiability In Answer-Set Programming, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2174, 2001.	
	C29 /	EAST, D. and TRUSZCZYNSKI, M., Propositional Satisfiability In Declarative Programming, Extended version of papers that appeared in Proceedings of AAAI-2000, and Proceedings of KI-2001. http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cs.LO/0211033 , Nov 2002.	
	C30 /	FREEMAN, J. W., Improvements To Propositional Satisfiability Search Algorithms, PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania, PA, 1995.	
	C31 /	FROST, D. and DECHTER, R., Dead-End Driven Learning, In Proceedings of the Twelfth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 294-300, 1994.	
	C32 /	GAP, GAP - Groups, Algorithms and Programming, The GAP Group, 2003, http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/gap .	
	C33 /	GELFOND, M. and LIFSCHITZ, V., The Stable Model Semantics For Logic Programming, In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Logic Programming, pages 1070-1080. MIT Press, 1988.	
	C34 /	GINSBERG, M. L., Dynamic Backtracking, Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 1:25-46, 1993.	
	C35 /	GINSBERG, M. L. and GEDDIS, D. F., Is There Any Need For Domain-Dependent Control Information? In Proceedings of the Ninth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 1991.	
	C36 /	GINSBERG, M. L. and PARKES, A. J., Search, Subsearch and QPROP, In Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning, Breckenridge, Colorado, 2000.	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

18922/08585/DOCS/1480302.1

11/11/04

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Complete if Known	
				Application No.	10/773,351
				Filing Date	February 5, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
				Art Unit	2171
				Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Sheet	4	of	6	Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

OTHER REFERENCES – NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ⁶
	C37	GOLDBERG, E. and NOVIKOV, Y., BerkMin: A Fast And Robust SAT Solver, In Design Automation and Test in Europe (DATE), pages 142-149, 2002.	
	C38	GUIGNARD, M. and SPIELBERG, K., Logical Reduction Methods In Zero-One Programming, Operations Research, 29, 1981.	
	C39	HAKEN, A., Counting Bottlenecks To Show Monotone P ≠ NP, In Proceedings 36th Annual IEEE Symp. on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS-95), pages 36-40, Milwaukee, MN, 1995. IEEE.	
B	C40	HARRISON, M. A., Introduction To Switching And Automata Theory, McGraw-Hill, 1989.	
	C41	HOOKER, J. N. and VINAY, V., Branching Rules For Satisfiability, J. Automated Reasoning, 15:359-383, 1995.	
	C42	JOSLIN, D. and ROY, A., Exploiting Symmetry In Lifted CSPs, In Proceedings of the Fourteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 197-202, 1997.	
	C43	KAUTZ, H. and SELMAN, B., BLACKBOX: A New Approach To The Application Of Theorem Proving To Problem Solving, In Artificial Intelligence Planning Systems: Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference. AAAI Press, 1998.	
	C44	KAUTZ, H. A. and SELMAN, B., Planning As Satisfiability, In Proceedings of the Tenth European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI'92), pages 359-363, 1992.	
	C45	KIRKPATRICK, S. and SELMAN, B., Critical Behavior In The Satisfiability Of Random Boolean Formulae, Science, 264:1297-1301, 1994.	
	C46	KNUTH, D. E., Notes On Efficient Representation Of Permutation Groups, Combinatorica, 11:57-68, 1991.	
	C47	KRAJICEK, J., Interpolation Theorems, Lower Bounds For Proof Systems, And Independence Results For Bounded Arithmetic. J. Symb. Logic, 62(2):457-486, 1997.	
	C48	KRISHNAMURTHY, B., Short Proofs For Tricky Formulas, Acta Informatica, 22(3):253-275, 1985.	
	C49	LEONE, N., PFEIFER, G. et al, The DLV System For Knowledge Representation And Reasoning, Technical Report 1843-02-14, Technical University of Vienna, 2002.	
	C50	LI, C. M., Integrating Equivalency Reasoning Into Davis-Putnam Procedure, In Proceedings of the Seventeenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 291-296, 2000.	
	C51	LI, C. M. and Anbulagan, Heuristics Based On Unit Propagation For Satisfiability Problems, In Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 366-371, 1997.	
	C52	LUKS, E. and ROY, A., Symmetry Breaking In Constraint Satisfaction, In Intl. Conf. of Artificial Intelligence and Mathematics, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, 2002.	
	C53	LUKS, E. M., Permutation Groups and Polynomial-Time Computation, volume 11 of DIMACS Series in Discrete	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

18922/08585/DOCS/1480302.1

11/11/04

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Application No.	10/773,351
				Filing Date	February 5, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
				Art Unit	2171
				Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Sheet	5	of	6	Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

OTHER REFERENCES – NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ⁶
		Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, pages 139-175, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.	
	C54	MAREK, V. W. and TRUSZCZYNSKI, M., Stable Models And An Alternative Logic Programming Paradigm, 1999.	
	C55	MCCUNE, W. and WOS, L., Otter - the CADE-13 Competition Incarnations, Journal of Automated Reasoning, 18(2):211-220, 1997.	
	C56	MITCHELL, D. G., Hard Problems For CSP Algorithms, In Proceedings of the Fifteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 398-405, 1998.	
	C57	MOSKEWICZ, M., MADIGAN, C., ZHAO, Y., ZHANG, L., and MALIK, S., Chaff: Engineering An Efficient SAT Solver, In 39th Design Automation Conference, 2001.	
	C58	NIEMELA, I., Logic Programs With Stable Model Semantics As A Constraint Programming Paradigm, Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence, 25:241-273, 1999.	
	C59	PARKES, A. J., Lifted Search Engines For Satisfiability, PhD thesis, University of Oregon, June 1999, Available from http://www.cirl.uoregon.edu/parkes .	
	C60	PITASSI, T., Propositional Proof Complexity Lecture Notes, www.cs.toronto.edu/toni/Courses/Proofcomplexity/Lectures/Lecture1/lecture1.ps (other lectures titled similarly), 2002.	
	C61	PRESTWICH, S., Randomised Backtracking For Linear Pseudo-Boolean Constraint Problems, In Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimisation Problems (CPAIOR-02), pages 7-20, 2002.	
	C62	PUDLAK, P., Lower Bounds For Resolution And Cutting Plane Proofs And Monotone Computations, J. Symbolic Logic, 62(3):981-998, 1997.	
B	C63	ROTMAN, J. J., An Introduction to the Theory of Groups, Springer, 1994.	
	C64	SAVELSBERGH, M. W. P., Preprocessing And Probing Techniques For Mixed Integer Programming Problems, ORSA Journal on Computing, 6:445-454, 1994.	
	C65	SCHAEFER, T. J., The Complexity Of Satisfiability Problems, In Proceedings of the Tenth Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, pages 216-226, 1978.	
	C66	SELMAN, B., KAUTZ, H. A., and COHEN, B., Local Search Strategies For Satisfiability Testing, In Proceedings 1993 DIMACS Workshop on Maximum Clique, Graph Coloring, and Satisfiability, 1993.	
B	C67	SERESS, A., Permutation Group Algorithms, volume 152 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.	
	C68	SIMONS, P., Extending And Implementing The Stable Model Semantics, 2000, Research Report 58, Helsinki University of	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

18922/08585/DOCS/1480302.1

11/11/04

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Complete if Known	
				Application No.	10/773,351
				Filing Date	February 5, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Heidi E. Dixon
				Art Unit	2171
				Examiner Name	Not Yet Known
Sheet	6	of	6	Attorney Docket Number	18922-08585

OTHER REFERENCES – NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ⁶
		Technology, Helsinki, Finland.	
	C69 /	SZEIDER, S., The Complexity Of Resolution With Generalized Symmetry Rules, In H. Alt and M. Habib, editors, Proceedings of STACS03, volume 2607 of Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 475-486, 2003.	
	C70	URQUHART, A., The Complexity Of Propositional Proofs, Bull. Symbolic Logic, 1(4):425-467, 1995.	
	C71 /	VELEV, M. N. and BRYANT, R. E., Effective Use Of Boolean Satisfiability Procedures In The Formal Verification Of Superscalar And VLIW Microprocessors, In Proceedings of the 38th Conference on Design Automation, Conference 2001, pages 226-231, New York, NY, USA, 2001. ACM Press.	
	C72 /	WALSER, J. P., Solving Linear Pseudo-Boolean Constraint Problems With Local Search, In Proceedings of the Fourteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 269-274, 1997.	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

18922/08585/DOCS/1480302.1

11/11/04